Team Members:

Facilitator: SMSgt Wagus Team Leader: MSgt Lewis

Team Members: 18 MXG (See block 8)

### 1. Clarify & Validate the Problem



- Numerous cargo and passenger chalks are processing late
- UDMs do not have standardized delivery of HAZDECS and Load Lists
- -- 18 AMXs & 718AMXS deliver; 18 CMS & 18 EMS have user pick-up
- UDMs do not have standardized practices across the Maintenance Group
- -- 718 AMXS UDMs inspect cargo prior to dispatch to K5 Right
- -- 18 AMXS & 718 AMXS load entire UTC into LOGMOD once Dep-Order drops; chalk personnel out when chalks are available
- -- 18 CMS & 18 EMS only loads UTC by chalk when available
- Sections do not understand what they are responsible for on each UTC
- Sections do not provide accurate list of deployable personnel to fill tasking
- Tools used: VSM Current State Map

# 2. Break Down the Problem/Identify Performance Gaps O D A

- Presently takes as long as 40 days for 18 Maintenance Group UDMs to prepare for and execute a Local Operational Readiness Exercise
- -- Must be able to do this in 6 days
- Presently takes 12 people to perform the process -- Need to reduce this amount to the absolute minimum required by AFI 21-101 and the IDP
- Current process increases possibility of cargo and passenger chalks processing late
- Tools used: KPI/Metrics, Performance Gap Analysis, Bottleneck Analysis

## 3. Set Improvement Target



- Presently takes as long as 40 to prepare and execute LORE
- -- Target reduction is 34 days
- Presently takes 12 people to perform the process
- -- Target reduction is 6 people (second phase)
- Current process increases possibility of cargo and passenger chalks processing late
- -- Target is consistent performance above the standard with zero late chalks
- Tools used: Ideal and Future State Maps

### 18 MXG Unit Deployment Managers / Mobility Process 1 – 4 Dec 09

OODA – Observe, Orient, Decide, & Act 8-Step Problem Solving Model

### 4. Determine Root Cause



- Mobility process is inconsistent across SQs
- Lack of standardization across SQs; some squadrons deliver HAZDECS and load lists and others have increment monitors pick them up
- Unrealistic timelines established by WG/XP
- -- Chalks are loaded into LOGMOD after their marshaling complete time or with insufficient time to accomplish task
- Limited equipment and personnel availability
- -- Maintenance Group is being tasked to generate aircraft while simultaneously being tasked to deploy the equipment and personnel needed to generate the aircraft
- Flights do not have access to a product that shows them current status of who is deployable
- Flights do not understand what each UTC contains and what their requirements are
- Tools used: 5-Whys and Brainstorming/Affinity

# 5. Develop Countermeasures



Action Plan:

- Establish Mobility Binders
- -- Validate Current UTC taskings
- -- Provide monthly list of non-deployable personnel
- -- Copy of Group directive
- Establish monthly UDM meetings
- -- Discuss lessons learned
- -- Review previous LORE discrepancies
- -- Provide in-house training
- Provide training for section chiefs and section mobility on binders
- -- Review UTC taskings
- -- Review non-deployable personnel list
- Obtain LOGMOD access for all Increment Monitors
- -- Validate need
- -- Provide LOGMOD training
- -- Coordinate with appropriate agencies for approval
- Create UDM folder on share drive
- Establish procedure for standardized load of passengers into LOGMOD
- Create Group directive
- -- Include a description of the process and have reviewed by leadership
- Tools used: A3, Action Plan, and Timeline/Schedule

Approval Information/Signatures

Col Dave Brown, 18 MXG/CC

Lt Col Matthew Cox, 18 MXG/CDM

Reviewed and approved

### 6. See Countermeasures Through

OODA

- Follow the Action Plan
- Establish and change policy
- Establish a Mobility Binder
- Train personnel on procedures
- Brief the new process to MXG Supervision.
- 30, 60, 90 and 6-month reviews of action plan
- Tools used: Visual Mgt and Standard Work

### 7. Confirm Results & Process



- Compare old exercise results to new results
- -- Number of discrepancies
- -- Cargo chalks late processing
- -- Number of personnel replaced from initial list provided to UDMs
- Tools used: KPIs/Metrics, Performance Mgt, Standard Work, and Audit

RESULTS	Current	Future	Delta	Improvement
Total # Steps	36	16	-20	56.6%
# People	12	12	0	0.0%
Min Time (days)	8.5	3.4	-5.1	60%
Avg Time (days)	17.2	5.6	-11.6	67.4%
Max Time (days)	40.2	12	-28.2	70.1%

### 8. Standardize Successful Processes



- Maintenance Group directive (local supplement to AFI 21-101)
- Local training for Mobility Binders
- Annual review of supplement
- Tools used: Checkpoints/Standardization Table, AFI Policy Change with Checklist and Training, Annual Reviews

#### **TEAM MEMBERS:**

18 MXG UDM: MSgt Lewis
18 MXG UDM: MSgt David Boyd
18 AMXS UDM: SSgt Tsukiyama
18 CMS UDM: SSgt Yelvington
18 EMS UDM: SSgt Babb
718 AMXS UDM: SSgt Fansler